A Yes, I am.

_

Q Now, I asked you this exact same question that I just asked you just then, and let me read it for you. Your view of the set that is competing with each other really has to do with what demographic they are competing for. Is that fair? Do you see my question, sir?

A I do.

And when I asked you that, your answer was, "In general, yes, but there are advertisers who, for reasons I think I articulated before, are looking for sport-specific based on relationships they may have, and, therefore, are just looking for the NFL or the NBA or the NHL for that matter." Did I read that correctly, sir?

A Yes, you did.

Q Thank you, sir. Now, you believe that Golf Channel competes with networks that deliver a similar audience in terms of men, correct, sir?

	Page 2723
1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q And you believe that The Golf
3	Channel competes with networks that skew a bit
4	towards male, don't you, sir?
5	A I have indicated that.
6	Q Now, in your report I noticed you
7	mostly compare Golf and Tennis. You don't
8	focus very much on Versus, correct, sir?
9	A I looked heavily at Golf and
10	Tennis, that's correct.
11	Q And
12	A Or I tried to.
13	Q You didn't examine the
14	similarities between Versus and Tennis at all,
15	did you, sir?
16	A Well, I looked at it to some
17	degree, not to the same extent as I looked at
18	Golf and Tennis.
19	Q But you would agree that even on
20	multi-sport networks advertisers often are
21	buying a particular sport, correct, sir?

They usually will build a schedule

22

Α

Page 2722 1 around a particular live sport, yes. 2 So if they buy the audience to a 0 3 given sport, or they -- strike that. It's sport-specific, wide sport-4 5 specific, isn't that right, sir, the 6 advertising? 7 Α Generally speaking, yes. 8 Now, speaking of competition, Mr. Q 9 Goldstein, you would agree that Tennis Channel is similar to some of the ESPN networks, would 10 11 you not, sir? 12 Α How would you compare them? 13 Well, sir, I -- at page 180 of Q 14 your deposition, Transcript 19 to 21 --15 Α Hang on. 180, you said? I'm 16 sorry. 17 180, sir. 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Starting at line 8, I asked you Q 20 sir, "What network do you think is closest, 21 generally, to The Tennis Channel?" Mr.

Toscano objected. You answered, "Some of the

news channels may be closest to The Tennis

Channel, cable news, some of what may be
called lifestyle networks may be close to

Tennis Channel. In a way, I would view them
perhaps a little bit more than the sports, but
probably some of the ESPN networks are in some
way, shape, or form reasonably close to The

Tennis Channel."

A Okay.

Q And I ask you why the news channel, and you said they were a bit more male.

A Well, they tend to skew, from a demographic point of view, similar to the way The Tennis Channel does. There are other channels that do that as well.

I -- you know, in terms of my ESPN reference, I certainly was not thinking about ESPN, the channel. It might have been something along the lines of an ESPN2 or one of the other ESPN networks.

Q Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Mr.

	<u> </u>	Page 2724
1	Goldstein, 1	I'm sorry. The ESPN channels are
2	multi-sport	, are they not, sir?
3	А	Yes, they are.
4	Q	Okay. And Versus competes with
5	the ESPN net	tworks, does it not, sir?
6	А	I'm sure they do.
7	Q	Now, Tennis has sponsorships and
8	marketing pa	artnerships like the other major
9	sports, cor:	rect, sir?
10	А	Yes, they do.
11	Q	Like Mercedes Benz for the U.S.
12	Open?	
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And Roger Federer and Gillette?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Mr. Federer is a well-known tennis
17	star?	
18	А	He is.
19	Q	And Gillette is a brand primarily
20	targeted at	men, is it not, sir?
21	А	Primarily, yes.
22	Q	It has marketed itself as being at

the heart of men's grooming for over a hundred years. Does that sound right to you, sir?

A Sounds right.

Q Mr. Federer has an endorsement deal with Gillette for men's shaving and grooming products, doesn't it, sir?

A I believe he does.

Q Now, you've also noted in your report -- you say that "Tennis has less audience appeal because its competitive stars are not American. And American fans and viewers like to root for American athletes."

Do you recall that, sir?

A I believe that to be true.

Q Okay. So let's look at this for a second. Would you agree that Mr. Federer is a household name in advertising, sir?

A I don't know that I would call him a household name, but he is certainly a highly recognizable name.

Q And what about Rafael Nadal? Do you consider him a highly recognizable name?

Page 2726 1 Α To a much lesser degree than Mr. 2 Federer. 3 All right. And let's look at it 0 on the golf side. There is Mr. Woods. 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And who do you think is next? 7 Α In terms of American athletes, 8 probably Mr. Mickelson. Okay. And of the top -- say, 9 0 10 other than Mr. Mickelson, of the top four golfers, other than Mr. Mickelson, are any of 11 12 them American, sir? 13 Α No, they're not, to my knowledge. 14 Okay. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that Mickelson 16 with an M-I-C-K? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Phil Mickelson. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: There used to be a 19 Nicholson, but --20 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 21 Q The LPGA tour, sir, does it have 22 any prominent American women on it?

A I believe it does, but I can't come up with a name. I believe an American just won one of their senior tournaments.

Q Okay. And with tennis, are there any well-known American tennis stars with women's tennis?

A Well, given the fact that both Williams sisters don't seem to have competed in quite a while, beyond those two, and unfortunately Mr. Roddick's fall from the top tier of tennis, from American stars, there don't seem to be very many that come quickly to mind.

Q Well, are you saying that you don't believe the Williams -- Venus and Serena Williams are effective advertisers?

A I didn't say that. I said in terms of their current popularity.

Q Well, in fact, would it surprise
you if I told you that Venus and Serena
Williams were first and third in the most
effective endorsers among active athletes?

Page 2729 Mr. Goldstein, are you familiar 1 0 with this exhibit? 2 3 Yes, I am. Α 4 0 This is put out by Nielsen, 5 correct? It is. 6 Α 7 And this report is the state of 8 the media year in sports for just last year, 9 correct, sir? 10 Yes, and it's interesting it doesn't include tennis at all. 11 12 Well, if you look at page 2 of the Q 13 report, page numbered 2 on the bottom left-14 hand side -- I think it's like the fourth page 15 -- there is a Nielsen e-poll en-score 16 endorsement score, do you see that, sir? 17 I do. Α 18 And I'm just looking at the most 19 effective endorsers there. And three of the 20 five on the women's side are tennis players, 21 are they not?

Yes, they are.

Α

	Page 2730
1	Q Now, I don't know, but I don't see
2	any effective endorsers down here from golf,
3	but maybe I'm just not familiar anymore.
4	A There aren't.
5	Q Okay.
6	A Again, I do find it interesting
7	that in every major sport
8	Q Excuse me. I'm sorry, Mr.
9	Goldstein.
10	A I'm sorry.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think there
12	is a question pending.
13	BY MR. PHILLIPS:
14	Q I don't think there is a question
15	pending.
16	A I apologize.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: You have to wait
18	until he asks a question.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your
20	Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: He has to listen to
22	your answer, too.

	Page 2731
1	BY MR. PHILLIPS:
2	Q Mr. Goldstein, the advertisers
3	care about absolute numbers of viewers,
4	correct, sir?
5	A They care about many different
6	things, and numbers of viewers is one of them.
7	Q A very important one, correct,
8	sir?
9	A It can be, yes.
10	Q Yes. I mean, you want your
11	advertisement to be seen by as many people as
12	possible, isn't that right, sir?
13	A At an appropriate cost.
14	Q All other things being equal,
15	greater distribution is better than lesser
16	distribution, wouldn't you agree, sir?
17	A Generally speaking, yes.
18	Q Now, just because a network
19	attracts women viewers, it doesn't mean that
20	it doesn't attract men viewers, does it?
21	A I never said that it did.

Okay.

I mean, it's not a zero-sum

22

Q

just -- I'm looking through this, and you have

already given me some of it. And it's late in

21

Page 2733

the afternoon after six days of this, so I'm trying to spare everybody.

2.0

Now, Mr. Goldstein, you would agree with me that sporting event coverage, live event sports, that are aired on the weekends is more valuable than -- from an advertiser's perspective than event coverage on weekdays during the working day, correct, sir?

A For the most part.

Q And as a general matter, golf coverage on the weekends would be more expensive for the same product than golf coverage during the weekdays during the work week, correct, sir?

A In general, that's correct.

Q All right. Because more people can watch live sports on the weekend than they can during the work week, correct, sir?

A So the pricing would be different.

Q You talked about the Phoenix Open in your report, and I think you mentioned it

some coverage immediately prior to the network coverage.

Q And your understanding is that

Golf Channel goes off the air in terms of live

coverage when the network coverage starts,

true, sir?

A They do, in fact.

Q So the later rounds, the more valuable rounds, are covered by the networks, aren't they, sir?

A That's correct, right.

Q And I believe you told me that you watched on Saturday and Sunday, is that correct, sir?

A If that's what I said, then yes.

Q And for the most part, The Golf
Channel's coverage would have been on Thursday
and Friday, correct, sir?

A They probably also had Saturday and Sunday, but yes.

Q But not during the part that the networks were showing.

	Page 2730
1	A Not simultaneous, no.
2	Q Right. Because, again, once the
3	networks come on, The Golf Channel has to go
4	off in terms of live coverage, correct?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q All right. And you know that you
7	didn't watch the early rounds that were on The
8	Golf Channel on Thursday and Friday because
9	you were at work on one of those days, weren't
10	you, sir?
11	A Thursday probably, Friday probably
12	not.
13	Q But you didn't that Friday you
14	didn't watch The Golf Channel, did you?
15	A As I said, I don't recall.
16	Q Now, would you agree with me that
17	there is a fair amount of coverage of golf on
18	broadcast television?
19	A I have indicated that there is
20	quite a bit.
21	Q And there is not as much tennis on

broadcast television, correct, sir?

1 Α Tennis coverage on network 2 television is very scarce. 3 And so would you agree with me 4 that there is more golf than tennis on 5 television generally? 6 Α I haven't done that analysis, so I don't know that I can agree with that. 7 8 Now, and as I take it, what The 9 Golf Channel shows is -- well, let me back up 10 a second. Golf tournaments tend to be how 11 long, how many days? 12 Α Four days. 13 Right. And the golf tournament --14 The Golf Channel shows the first two days, 15 correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And then they show that -- sort of 18 the morning or early afternoon section of the 19 weekend before the networks turn on, correct, 20 sir? I think it's usually about two 21 22 hours in the early afternoon immediately

Page 2738

preceding the network coverage. So let's say one to three.

2.0

Q Now, if I were a viewer that wanted to watch golf, I would want to -- I would need The Golf Channel only if I were a pretty serious fan and wanted to see those early rounds, correct, sir?

A I think people watch golf because they want to watch the people who are participating.

Q But I've got a lot of golf on television. If I wanted to watch those early rounds, I would need The Golf Channel, wouldn't I?

A But the viewership doesn't seem to be adversely impacted by the fact that there is much golf as you seem to indicate.

Q Well, sir, I'm -- here's my question for you, though. If I wanted to watch those early rounds of golf, if I'm a pretty serious golf viewer, I would need to get The Golf Channel, wouldn't I?

	Page 2/39
1	A Yes, you would.
2	Q And so I might be willing to pay
3	the extra \$5 or \$6 a month to get it if it
4	were on a sports tier, correct, sir?
5	A That is not what I'm here to talk
6	about.
7	Q I just wondered if you agreed with
8	me.
9	A Okay. So I you know, I don't
. 0	know what and nor would I have done any
.1	analysis to try and find out what people would
.2	or wouldn't pay for.
.3	Q Now, you stated that the lack of
4	overlap between Golf and Tennis Channel in the
. 5	advertisers demonstrate that advertisers view
. 6	the networks distinctly. Do you remember
.7	that, sir? It's in your written report.
. 8	A I said it, and I said it again
.9	today, I believe.
20	Q And if there was a significant
21	overlap between networks, your opinion might

change, correct, sir?

1 Exhibit 15.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, we're back in this testifying about testimony. What are you doing to ask? What are you trying to get from the witness on this?

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, he -- the witness has said that there were a 50 percent overlap. He'd be surprised, and he'd rethink his testimony, so I want to take him up on that proposition, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Why don't you just ask him straight out then.

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I'm pointing to Exhibit C here --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Exhibit C of 15?

MR. PHILLIPS: Of 15, Exhibit C of

17 I 15.

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

Q Mr. Goldstein, this is Tennis
Channel's top advertisers, and it also tells
where else they bought ads. Do you see the
chart?

	Page 2742
1	A Yes.
2	Q And if you look at the notes down
3	at the bottom, it notes that 77 percent, all
4	but seven of Tennis Channel's top 30 national
5	ad accounts also bought the Golf Channel. Do
6	you see that line?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Also bought the
8	what channel?
9	MR. PHILLIPS: Also bought the
10	Golf Channel. In other words, Your Honor, 77
11	percent of Tennis Channel's top advertisers
12	also bought ads on the Golf Channel.
13	THE WITNESS: Was this in a given
14	period of time, a set period of time, or is
15	this over an extended period of time?
16	MR. PHILLIPS: No, for the year
17	2010, sir.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have not
19	seen this before.
20	BY MR. PHILLIPS:

question. You note that the third note down

Well, you're getting to my

21

22

Q

Page 2743 1 here says that 60 percent, all but 12 of the advertisers of Tennis Channel's top 30 2 national ad accounts also bought Versus. 3 Do you see that, sir? Do you see where it says 4 5 that? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Okay. You haven't seen this 8 document before have you, sir? 9 I don't believe so. Α 10 And you'd never considered these 11 facts before, had you, sir? Considered what facts? 12 Α 13 The facts that I just read to you. 14 Well, I have seen overlap charts which led me to the conclusion that I stated 15 16 earlier. Okay? 17 But you --18 I would like to -- I'd, frankly, Α 19 like the opportunity to really dig into this 20 and look at it the way I was able to earlier. 21 I'm more than happy for you to, 22 sir, but I just wanted to make the point that

Page 2744 1 in coming to your earlier conclusion, you 2 hadn't seen this chart, or this overlap, had 3 you, sir? 4 Α No. Now, would you consider a 77 5 6 percent overlap a pretty substantial overlap, 7 sir? 8 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, I'd just 9 note for the record, we cross-examined Mr. 10 Herman pretty aggressively on how this chart 11 was put together, but not --12 MR. PHILLIPS: Excuse me. This is 13 inappropriate, Your Honor. This is --14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait, wait, wait, 15 wait. What's the objection? MR. CARROLL: The exhibit he's 16 17 using from this expert, his expert, he's 18 making representations about what that exhibit 19 shows. Our side doesn't agree with that, and 20 this witness is not in a position to know any 21 of that. 22

Try again.

JUDGE SIPPEL:

1 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I just 2 wanted to cut Mr. Carroll off because I didn't 3 want him to be suggesting reasons to this witness of what he may find good or bad about 4 5 this particular chart. The cross-examination 6 they did of Mr. Herman stands for what it is, 7 sir. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't think 8 that we -- was this witness in the courtroom 9 for that --10 11 MR. PHILLIPS: He was -- I don't 12 know whether he was or not. Were you for Mr. 13 Herman's testimony, sir? 14 THE WITNESS: No, I was not. 15 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 16 And I take it that no one on the 17 Comcast side gave you this testimony to read 18 between last Tuesday and today, sir.

A I'm trying to -- give me a moment, because I read a lot of stuff. Okay? No, I believe I did see Mr. Herman's testimony.

19

20

21

22

Q Well, if you saw his testimony,